IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 220 OF 1991

Laxman Trimbak Bhor ...Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporationof Greater Bombay & Ors. ...Respondents

And

Arvind Vaidya & Ors. ...Opponents.

.....

Ms. Nandini Gupta, counsel for Petitioner

Mr. A.S. Bhor, counsel for B.M.C.

Mrs. G.P. Mulekar, A.G.P. for Respondent No.5.

.....

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.

DATED: 23RD FEBRUARY, 2005

P. C.:-

. The petitioner is present in the Court. Ms. Nandini Gupta, the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions states that nothing survives in the contempt petition as at present. Hence, the petition is disposed of as infructuous. Rule discharged.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATIONNO. 5072 OF 1992
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 220 OF 1991

Shanti Sadan Co-Operative
Housing Society Ltd. ...Petitioners.

Versus

Laxman Trimbak Bhor & Ors. ...Respondents.

.....

Ms. Nandini Gupta, counsel for Respondent No.1

Mr. A.S. Bhor, counsel for B.M.C.

.....

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.

DATED: 23RD FEBRUARY, 2005

P. C.:-

. In view of the disposal of contempt petition, this application does not survive and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATIONNO. 3620 OF 1992
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 220 OF 1991

Laxman Trimbak Bhor ...Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporationof Greater Bombay & Ors. ...Respondents

And

Arvind Vaidya & Ors. ...Opponents.

.....

Ms. Nandini Gupta, counsel for Petitioner

Mr. A.S. Bhor, counsel for B.M.C.

Mrs. G.P. Mulekar, A.G.P. for Respondent No.5.

.....

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.

DATED: 23RD FEBRUARY, 2005

P. C.:-

. In view of the disposal of contempt petition, this application does not survive and the same is disposed of as infructuous.